ELSEVIER

Short communication

Characterization of fungi associated with the nasal hairs of Molossid bats

Julie Teresa Shapiro [a, *], Thiago Mateus Rocha dos Santos [a, b], Clarice Rossato Marchetti [c], Aline Pedroso Lorenz-Lemke [d], Emília Delarmelina [e], Marcelo Oscar Bordignon [a]

[a] *Laboratório de Zoologia, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Cidade Universitária s/n, 79090-900 Campo Grande, MS, Brazil*
[b] *Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Cidade Universitária s/n, 79090-900 Campo Grande, MS, Brazil*
[c] *Laboratório de Bioquímica de Microrganismos, Micoteca, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Cidade Universitária s/n, 79090-900 Campo Grande, MS, Brazil*
[d] *Laboratório de Evolução e Biodiversidade, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Cidade Universitária s/n, 79090-900 Campo Grande, MS, Brazil*
[e] *Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Animal, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Cidade Universitária s/n, 79090-900 Campo Grande, MS, Brazil*

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Fungal pathogens have become a serious threat to wildlife, threatening populations of even once common, abundant species. We describe the mycobiota associated with the nasal hairs of three Molossid bat species, *Cynomops planirostris*, *Molossus molossus*, and *Molossus rufus*, in southwest Brazil. Bats were captured in the Cerrado and Pantanal biomes. We cultured 22 fungal isolates from twelve individual bats. Sixteen sequences of the ITS region were obtained, yielding 11 unique sequence types from the genera *Aspergillus*, *Cladosporium*, *Paecilomyces*, and *Penicillium*. No obvious detrimental effects on the bats from the fungi were observed, although some species or genera that we identified are known pathogens in other species. This is the first report of such fungi associated with the nasal hairs of Molossid bats. Our results indicate the need for further research on the biodiversity, ecological role, and potential effects of this mycobiota on Molossid bats.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd and The British Mycological Society. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Mycoses are rapidly becoming one of the leading threats to wildlife (Daszak et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2012). A number of emerging fungal pathogens have reduced populations of diverse taxa including sea corals (Geiser et al., 1998), reptiles (Thomas et al., 2002; Bowman et al., 2007; Allender et al., 2011), and amphibians (Daszak et al., 1999; Briggs et al., 2010). In 2006, bats in the northeastern United States were reported with white fungus on their nose and wings (Blehert et al., 2009). The pathogen, which invades the dermal tissue, has devastated the bat populations of the region (Blehert et al., 2009; Frick et al., 2010). The novel fungus,

Pseudogymnoascus desctructans (Minnis and Lindner, 2013), has also been reported in bats in Europe, although it does not appear pathogenic there (Wibbelt et al., 2010; Puechmaille et al., 2011).

The mycobiota of bats in Brazil is largely unknown. We observed the presence of filamentous fungi among the hairs between the snout and upper lip of three species of Molossid bats, *Cynomops planirostris*, *Molossus molossus*, and *Molossus rufus* (Fig. 1). Worldwide, there are approximately 90 species of Molossid bats, which can be found on every continent (Wilson and Reeder, 2005). These bats are small to medium size insectivores characterized by a free tail extending beyond the uropatagium, narrow wings, dark, velvety fur, and an internal keel (Gregorin and Taddei, 2002). Brazil is home to 29 species of Molossid bats (Nogueira et al., 2014), 14 of which can be found in Mato Grosso do Sul state (Cáceres et al., 2008; Bordignon et al., 2011; Santos and Bordignon, 2011).

Fig. 1. *Cynomops planirostris* presenting visible fungal filaments around the nasal hairs.

These fungi on the nasal hairs of Molossid bats have not been previously reported or described. The objective of this study was to identify these fungi. We also compared the species richness and composition of fungi isolated from the different bat species and from the two different habitat types.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

We collected bats from around Mato Grosso do Sul, southwest Brazil between April 2012 and January 2013 in both the Cerrado and Pantanal. The Cerrado is a large seasonal xeromorphic plant formation located on the plateau of Central Brazil, commonly called "Brazilian Savanna" (Eiten, 1972). The Pantanal is an extensive, unpredictable wetland, influenced by the vegetation classes that border it, such as the Cerrado, Amazonian forest, and Chaco, favoring a great variety of vegetation types (Pott et al., 2011). In the Cerrado, bats were collected on rural properties outside of Campo Grande and within the city of Campo Grande. Trapping in the Pantanal occurred around the Negro River and Miranda River (Table 1).

2.2. Bat capture and fungus isolation

We captured bats of three species for this study: *Cynomops planirostris*, *Molossus molossus*, and *Molossus rufus*. In the study area, only bats of the genera *Cynomops* and *Molossus* have the nasal hairs which appear to host fungi. Other Molossid bats have wrinkled upper lips and therefore no hairs on the muzzle. To capture bats, mist nets were placed near roosts, small water bodies, and possible flyways. Bats were removed from mist-nets using latex gloves and placed in sterilized cloth bags. The bats were identified to species level according to Gregorin and Taddei (2002). Forceps were sterilized in a flame and then dipped in alcohol. Visible fungus filaments were removed with forceps and placed in Petri dishes on sterile potato dextrose supplemented with chloramphenicol (100 μg ml^{-1}) and gentamicin (50 μg ml^{-1}) to prevent bacterial contamination. Each Petri dish was then capped and sealed with plastic tape immediately after placing the filament on the agar. When filaments were not visible, the sterilized forceps were passed over the nasal hairs and pressed onto the agar. These plates were closed and then sealed with plastic film.

Cultures were left to grow at room temperature in sealed Petri dishes. There was no significant variation in room temperature during the incubation period, which lasted approximately 15 d. When there was more than one morphotype on a dish, each was placed onto a different plate. This was done in a sterilized laminar flow hood using sterile forceps to prevent any contamination.

2.3. Molecular methods

To more accurately identify fungal cultures, the rRNA internal transcribed spacer region (ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2; ca. 600 pb) was sequenced. DNA was extracted from circular fragments of mycelium with a diameter of approximately 0.5 cm following a modified CTAB protocol (Doyle and Doyle, 1987). The isolated material was quantified using NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) and its quality was assessed using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. Amplifications were performed using primers ITS1F (Gardes and Bruns, 1993) and ITS4 (White et al., 1990). Each PCR reaction contained 0.2 mM of dinucleotide triphosphate (dNTPs), 0.2 μM of each primer (ITS1F and ITS4), 1X GoTaq buffer (Promega), 1 U of GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega), and 1 μl of genomic DNA. The final volume of amplification was 25 μl. PCR reactions were amplified in an Applied Biosystems Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler using the following parameters: 5 min at 94 °C followed by 30 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 45 s at 55 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C, and then a final elongation of 10 min at 72 °C. After PCR, an aliquot of 2 μl from each sample was checked by horizontal electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel. PCR products were cleaned with PEG 20% (Dunn and Blattner, 1987) and sequenced according to the protocols of Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, South Korea).

Sequencing reads were assembled and edited using Geneious® 7.1.7 (http://www.geneious.com; Kearse et al., 2012). High-quality consensus sequences of each isolate were compared to the NCBI GenBank database with BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990). Each sequence was compared to the first 30 matches in GenBank. If at least 20 of the first 30 sequences matched the same genus or species, the isolate was identified as belonging to that genus or species.

All sequences were submitted to GenBank under accession numbers **KR610358 − KR610373**.

3. Results and discussion

A total of 22 ascomycete colonies were cultured from 12 individual bats of three different bat species: *Cynomops planirostris* (n = 6), *Molossus molossus* (n = 3), and *Molossus rufus* (n = 3) (Table 1, Fig. 2). Five bats were captured near the Negro River and Miranda River, in the Pantanal. Within the Cerrado, six bats were collected on rural properties and one was collected within the city of Campo Grande. Of the 22 isolates cultured, adequate sequences for identification were obtained from 16 of them, and they were identified to the level of genus or species (Table 1). From the 16 isolates, eleven unique ITS sequence types were obtained from different species in four genera. At the species level, *Aspergillus terreus* (three isolates with identical sequences) was identified from three cultures from two different bats. Two additional sequence types of *Aspergillus* were also identified, one sequence type of *Cladosporium*, one sequence type of *Paecilomyces*, and eight sequence types of *Penicillium*. The divergence among the different sequence types of *Aspergillus* was about 10% while in *Penicillium*, divergence ranged from 1 to 6.5%. *Penicillium* sp. isolate 6.1 was the most different from the other isolates, diverging 6.5% from other *Penicillium* spp. sequence types. The other seven *Penicillium* spp. isolates diverged <2% from each other.

Some fungal isolates appeared to be common across bat species and the two biomes, Cerrado and Pantanal. Seven similar *Penicillium* isolates (divergence <2%) were cultured from seven

Table 1
Fungal isolates and identification from each individual bat. All sequences have been submitted to GenBank (BankIT ID 1822184).

Bat species	Bat ID	Biome	Coordinates	Visible fungal filaments	Genus/Species/Isolate
Cynomops planirostris	2	Cerrado	20°30′21″S 54°32′44″W	Yes	Fungal sp. isolate 2.1[a] *Cladosporium* sp. isolate 2.1 *Penicillium* sp. isolate 2.3
	4	Cerrado	20°30′21″S 54°32′44″W	No	*Aspergillus* sp. isolate 4.1
	5	Cerrado	20°30′21″S 54°32′44″W	No	*Aspergillus* sp. isolate 5.1
	6	Cerrado	20°30′21″S 54°32′44″W	No	*Penicillium* sp. isolate 6.1
	7	Cerrado	20°30′21″S 54°32′44″W	No	*Penicillium* sp. isolate 7.1 Fungal sp. isolate 7.2[a]
	8	Cerrado	20°47′57″S 54°50′45″W	No	Fungal sp. isolate 8.1[a] *Penicillium* sp. isolate 8.2
Molossus molossus	1	Cerrado	20°28′00″S 57°34′11″W	Yes	*Aspergillus terreus* isolate 1.1 *Penicillium* sp. isolate 1.2 *Aspergillus terreus* isolate 1.3 Fungal sp. isolate 1.4[a]
	10	Pantanal	19°19′35″S 57°02′00″W	Yes	*Penicillium* sp. isolate 10.1 *Paecilomyces* sp. isolate 10.2
	11	Pantanal	19°19′35″S 57°02′00″W	No	*Penicillium* sp. isolate 11.1
Molossus rufus	3	Pantanal	19°34′37″S 57°00′42″W	Yes	*Aspergillus terreus* isolate 3.1 Fungal sp. isolate 3.2[a]
	9	Pantanal	19°34′37″S 57°00′42″W	Yes	Fungal sp. isolate 9.1[a]
	12	Pantanal	19°34′37″S 57°00′42″W	No	*Aspergillus* sp. isolate 12.1 *Penicillium* sp. isolate 12.2

[a] Adequate sequences for identification were not obtained.

Fig. 2. Fungi cultured from Molossid bats. Numbers for each image correspond to isolate number in Table 1. See Table 1 for identification, bat host, and corresponding information for each isolate. All images are at 400× magnification.

individuals of all three bat species in both the Cerrado and Pantanal. *A. terreus* was also cultured from multiple bats, *M. molossus* (Bat 1, Cerrado) and *M. rufus* (Bat 3, Pantanal) (Table 1). Other fungi may be more specific or less common in the environment. Several fungal isolates were found in only a single individual, such as *Aspergillus* sp. isolate 12.1, *Cladosporium* sp. isolate 2.1, *Paecilomyces* sp. isolate 10.2, and *Penicillium* sp. isolate 6.1. These fungi might come from more specific sources that these individual bats were in contact with.

Our results point to the possibility that the fungi associated with the Molossid bats of southwest Brazil are diverse and may vary significantly between individuals, species, and biomes. Studies of bats' mycobiota in caves have also found *Cladosporium* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. (Voyron et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2013). In particular, *Penicillium* sp. isolate 1.2 and *Penicillium* sp. isolate 10.1, both from *M. molossus*, had close matches to fungi isolated from Vespertilionid bats in Indiana, USA (Johnson et al., 2013). Although

these fungi identified by Johnson et al. (2013) were psychrophilic or psychrotolerant from cave-dwelling bats, we have no evidence that the bats we captured roost in caves or in cool climates. We captured five *C. planirostris* exiting a roost in a tree while several captured *M. molossus* and *M. rufus* were exiting roosts located under the roofs of buildings. It is possible that fungi such as *Penicillium* spp. or *Cladosporium* spp. might colonize a wide variety of bat species and are not cave-specific, but come from other environmental sources, perhaps the soils or dust in a wide variety of roosts including caves, trees, and artificial structures.

We did not culture the same fungal isolate from different bats presenting fungal filaments. Although morphologically similar to the naked eye, these filaments do not appear to be a unique fungal species across individuals. Multiple fungal morphotypes were cultured from nearly all bats presenting these fungal filaments. How or why the filaments form on the nasal area of Molossid bats remains unclear. Although we observed no indication that these fungi are pathogenic to the bats from which we were cultured, some, such as *A. terreus* and species from the genera *Cladosporium* and *Paecilomyces*, may cause opportunistic infection in other organisms (Perfect and Schell, 1996; Foley et al., 2002; Woolhouse and Gowtage-Sequeria, 2005). Several isolates, such as the *A. terreus* (from *M. molossus* and *M. rufus*) and *Aspergillus* sp. isolates 4.1 and 5.1 (from *C. planirostris*), had close matches to pathogenic specimens in GenBank, which may give some cause for concern. Across the world, a growing number of fungi, both opportunistic or introduced species, have been implicated in emerging infections of various wildlife species (Geiser et al., 1998; Daszak et al., 2001; Drew Harvell et al., 2002; Fisher et al., 2012), including bats (Blehert et al., 2009; Frick et al., 2010). Such pathogens have the potential to spread to and unexpectedly devastate more susceptible populations, for example in the case of White Nose Syndrome (WNS; Blehert et al., 2009; Frick et al., 2010). Currently, *C. planirostris*, *M. molossus*, and *M. rufus* are considered species of "Least Concern" by the IUCN (Barquez and Diaz, 2008; Barquez et al., 2008a,b), due to their extensive geographic distributions, ranging

from Mexico to Argentina. Population trends for *M. rufus* are stable (Barquez et al., 2008a), while they are unknown for *C. planirostris* (Barquez and Diaz, 2008) and *M. molossus* (Barquez et al., 2008b). Nonetheless, the case of WNS in particular has shown the potential of mycoses to cause devastating population crashes and local extinctions of previously abundant species (Frick et al., 2010).

Our results indicate the need for further research into the potential effects these fungi may have on Molossid bats in Brazil. Sequencing additional genetic markers may yield more precise identifications of these isolates at the species level and further enhance our understanding of them. The variability of species richness and composition of fungi cultured from Molossid bats, even among individuals of the same species, all within a small geographic area, points to a potentially complex and species-rich mycobiota associated with these particular bat species in this region of Brazil.

Acknowledgments

References

Allender, M.C., Dreslik, M., Wylie, S., Phillips, C., Wylie, D.B., Maddox, C., Delaney, M.A., Kinsel, M.J., 2011. *Chrysosporium* sp. infection in eastern Massasauga Rattlesnakes. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17, 2382–2384. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1712.110240.

Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410.

Barquez, R., Diaz, M., 2008. Cynomops planirostris. IUCN 2013. In: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.2. www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 16 April 2014.

Barquez, R., Rodriguez, B., Miller, B., Diaz, M., 2008a. Molossus molossus. IUCN 2013. In: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.2. www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 16 April 2014.

Barquez, R., Rodriguez, B., Miller, B., Diaz, M., 2008b. Molossus rufus. IUCN 2013. In: IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.2. www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 16 April 2014.

Blehert, D.S., Hicks, A.C., Behr, M., Meteyer, C.U., Berlowski-Zier, B.M., Buckles, E.L., Coleman, J.T.H., Darling, S.R., Gargas, A., Niver, R., Okoniewski, J.C., Rudd, R.J., Stone, W.B., 2009. Bat white-nose syndrome: an emerging fungal pathogen? Science 323, 227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1163874.

Bordignon, M.O., Santos, T.M.R., Bernardi, I.P., 2011. Ocorrência de *Eumops patagonicus* Thomas, 1924 no limite dos biomas Chaco e Pantanal, Centro-Oeste do Brasil. Chiropt. Neotrop. 17, 853–856.

Bowman, M.R., Paré, J.A., Sigler, L., Naeser, J.P., Sladky, K.K., Hanley, C.S., Helmer, P., Phillips, L.A., Brower, A., Porter, R., 2007. Deep fungal dermatitis in three inland bearded dragons (Pogona vitticeps) caused by the Chrysosporiumi anamorph of Nanniziops vriesii. Med. Mycol. 45, 371–376. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13693780601188610.

Briggs, C.J., Knapp, R.A., Vredenburg, V.T., 2010. Enzootic and epizootic dynamics of the chytrid fungal pathogen of amphibians. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 9695–9700. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912886107.

Cáceres, N.C., Carmignotto, A.P., Fischer, E., 2008. Mammals from Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Check List 4, 321–335.

Daszak, P., Berger, L., Cunningham, A.A., Hyatt, A.D., Green, D.E., Speare, R., 1999. Emerging infectious diseases and amphibian population declines. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 5, 735–748. DOI: 10603206.

Daszak, P., Cunningham, A.A., Hyatt, A.D., 2001. Anthropogenic environmental change and the emergence of infectious diseases in wildlife. Acta Trop. 78, 103–116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-706X(00)00179-0.

Doyle, J.J., Doyle, J.L., 1987. A rapid DNA isolation method for small quantities of fresh tissues. Phytochem. Bull. Soc. Am. 19, 11–15.

Drew Harvell, C., Mitchell, C.E., Ward, J.R., Altizer, S., Dobson, A.P., Ostfeld, R., Samuel, M.D., 2002. Climate warming and disease risks for terrestrial and marine biota. Science 296, 2158–2162.

Dunn, I.S., Blattner, F.R., 1987. Sharons 36 to 40: multi-enzyme, high capacity, recombination deficient replacement vectors with polylinkers and polystuffers. Nucleic Acids Res. 15, 2677–2698.

Eiten, G., 1972. The Cerrado vegetation of Brazil. Bot. Rev. 38, 201–341. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02859158.

Fisher, M.C., Henk, D.A., Briggs, C.J., Brownstein, J.S., Madoff, L.C., McCraw, S.L., Gurr, S.J., 2012. Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. Nature 484, 186–194. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10947.

Foley, J.E., Norris, C.R., Jang, S.S., 2002. Paecilomycosis in dogs and horses and a review of the literature. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 16, 238–243.

Frick, W.F., Pollock, J.F., Hicks, A.C., Langwig, K.E., Reynolds, D.S., Turner, G.G., Butchkoski, C.M., Kunz, T.H., 2010. An emerging disease causes regional population collapse of a common North American Bat Species. Science 329, 679–692. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1188594.

Gardes, M., Bruns, T.D., 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes-application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Mol. Ecol. 2, 113–118.

Geiser, D.M., Taylor, J.W., Ritchie, K.B., Smith, G.W., 1998. Cause of sea fan death in the West Indies. Nature 394, 137–138. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/28079.

Gregorin, R., Taddei, V.A., 2002. Chave artificial para a identificação de molossídeos brasileiros (Mammalia, Chiroptera). Mastozool. Neotrop. 9, 13–32.

Johnson, L.J., Miller, A.N., McCleery, R.A., McClanahan, R., Kath, J.A., Lueschow, S., Porras-Alfaro, A., 2013. Psychrophilic and psychrotolerant fungi on bats and the presence of *Geomyces* spp. on bat wings prior to the arrival of white nose syndrome. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 5465–5471.

Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Mentjies, P., Drummond, A., 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28, 1647–1649.

Minnis, A.M., Lindner, D.L., 2013. Phylogenetic evaluation of Geomyces and allies reveals no close relatives of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, comb. nov., in bat hibernacula of eastern North America. Fungal Biol. 117, 638–649. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2013.07.001.

Nogueira, M.R., Lima, I.P., Moratelli, R., Tavares, V.C., Gregorin, R., Peracchi, A.L., 2014. Checklist of Brazilian bats, with comments on original records. Check List 10 (4), 808–821. http://dx.doi.org/10.15560/10.4.808.

Perfect, J.R., Schell, W.A., 1996. The new fungal opportunists are coming. Clin. Infect. Dis. 22, S112–S118. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/clinids/22.Supplement_2.S1.

Pott, A., Oliveira, A.K.M., Damasceno-Junior, G.A., Silva, J.S.V., 2011. Plant diversity of the Pantanal wetland. Braz. J. Biol. 71, 265–273. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842011000200005.

Puechmaille, S.J., Wibbelt, G., Korn, V., Fuller, H., Forget, F., Muhldorfe, K., Kurth, A., Bogdanowicz, W., Borel, C., Bosch, T., Cherezy, T., Drebet, M., Gorfol, T., Haarsma, A.J., Herhaus, F., Hallart, G., Hammer, M., Jungmann, C., Bris, Y.L., Lutsar, L., Masing, M., Mulkens, B., Passior, K., Starrach, M., Wojtaszewski, A., Zophel, U., Teeling, E.C., 2011. Pan-European distribution of white-nose syndrome fungus (Geomyces destructans) not associated with mass mortality. PLoS ONE 6, e19167.

Santos, T.M.R., Bordignon, M.O., 2011. Primeiro registro de *Tadarida brasiliensis* (I. Geoffroy, 1824) para o Pantanal Brasileiro. Chiropt. Neotrop. 17, 832–835.

Thomas, A.D., Sigler, L., Peucker, S., Norton, J.H., Nielan, A., 2002. *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* associated with fatal cutaneous mycoses in the salt-water crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*). Med. Mycol. 40, 143–151. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/mmy.40.2.143.151.

Voyron, S., Lazzari, A., Riccucci, M., Calvini, M., Varese, G.C., 2011. First mycological investigation on Italian bats. Hystrix Int. J. Mammal. 22, 189–197. http://dx.doi.org/10.4404/Hystrix-22.1-4481.

White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., Taylor, J., 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J., White, T.J. (Eds.), PCR Protocols: a Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic Press, New York, pp. 315–322.

Wibbelt, G., Kurth, A., Hellmann, D., Weishaar, M., Barlow, A., Veith, M., Pruger, J., Gorfol, T., Groscshe, L., Bontadina, F., Zophel, U., Seidel, H.P., Cryan, P.M., Blehert, D.S., 2010. White nose syndrome fungus (Geomyces destructans) in bats, Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 16, 1237–1243. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1608.100002.

Wilson, D.E., Reeder, D.M., 2005. Mammal Species of the World: a Taxonomic and Geographic Reference. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.

Woolhouse, M.E.J., Gowtage-Sequeria, S., 2005. Host range and emerging and reemerging pathogens. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11, 1842–1847. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1112.050997.